McCarthy Drums Have Begun Beating Close to Home

As this is apparently the "Age of McCarthyism", it is not surprising that some of the McCarthy proponents have adopted some of his tactics. Not only political journalists, but even political philosophers as well have started to beat his drum.

The effects have been felt as far south as North Carolina, and perhaps further. Considerable publicity was given to the rumor a year or two ago that Communism was about to spread through the University of North Carolina. We cannot say whether this is true or not. But it certainly impressed some into assuming that UNC has a problem.

A similar situation has again arisen at UNC. An editorial in the "Daily Tar Heel" features the following story of talks at Chapel Hill who have been "given the opportunity to influence this decision...that they be denied because they are too liberal".

The editorial reads, "Three more men of God are about to pay the price of thinking for themselves. This time, however, the teachery leaders in the state's Baptist college student program who are to be fired if their inquisitors have their way..."

...The source of the trouble is the usual source of Chapel Hill's trouble: "liberalism". The suggestion that they get out "it is understanding how to live in a society that's not...." is not unusual. It is a story that has come from a seven-man committee made up of Baptists appointed last fall to investigate student work and "liberalism" in the college groups. Immediately prompting the commit- tee's appointment was the students' protest which followed when their elders canceled a series of films on "How to Be Liberal". But behind all that lies years of suspicion of the student program, particularly of the "liberal" group.

There is not today a common, accepted meaning for "liberalism". Certainly there is no one who has been charged with the dismissal of three religious leaders. It is acceptable to us, however, that any attempt at a negative political definition of "liberal" is itself culpable of destroying the peace and stability of the world, and...as a result, we are hardier, to other hand.

Religious leaders in North Carolina, as well as elsewhere, are likely to find it necessary to shout the "name of the world only from the pulpit. We cannot possibly, certainly, with any other result than the silencing of all liberal speech."

If, in any event, such leaders should attempt to come into the open with any views which they may have, they, too, may have answers to give. However, we hope that the present state of affairs at the Buckley speech is not indicative of any trend among students in the state like at UNC. -WJR

Letters to Editor

McCarthy Upheld; Ceiling Falls in Dorn

(End Note: We wish to re-emphasize the letter policy of the Daily Tar Heel. All letters must be signed. Names will be withheld at the discretion of the editor.)

Mr. Editor,

The Gamecock

Dear Sir,

The name is not an alibi for the fact that the student of the University of North Carolina and its affiliated colleges has been convicted of various offenses and that he has been asked to sign a pledge to refrain from such behavior.

This is not an attempt to deny the University of North Carolina and its affiliated colleges the right to maintain standards of conduct and discipline. However, it is also not an attempt to silence any student who believes in the right to freedom of expression and who is willing to accept the consequences of such beliefs.

We believe that the University of North Carolina and its affiliated colleges should be free to determine the standards of conduct and discipline that it deems necessary for the maintenance of an educational institution. However, we also believe that all students should be free to express their opinions, even when those opinions are unpopular or offensive.

We believe that the University of North Carolina and its affiliated colleges should be free to determine the standards of conduct and discipline that it deems necessary for the maintenance of an educational institution. However, we also believe that all students should be free to express their opinions, even when those opinions are unpopular or offensive.

Sincerely yours,

John A. McDavid.

Dear Sir,

I am writing in reference to the article by Mr. T. B. Brown, "The Gamecock: An Argument for Student Rights on Campus." The author suggests that students should be allowed to have a free speech zone on campus.

While I agree with the general idea of allowing students to have a platform to express their views, I believe that the author's argument is flawed.

First of all, the author does not take into account the fact that the university has a responsibility to maintain order on campus. If students are allowed to have a free speech zone, it is possible that they will use it as a platform to incite violence or disrupt the educational process.

Secondly, the author does not consider the fact that the university has a right to regulate the use of its facilities. If students are allowed to have a free speech zone, they will have the right to use the area in any way they choose, even if it is disruptive or unlawful.

Finally, the author does not address the issue of student interference with the rights of other students. If students are allowed to have a free speech zone, they will have the right to use it for their own purposes, even if it has a negative effect on other students.

In conclusion, while I agree with the general idea of allowing students to have a platform to express their views, I believe that the author's argument is flawed. The university has a responsibility to maintain order on campus and to regulate the use of its facilities. Students should be allowed to have a free speech zone, but it should be subject to certain restrictions to ensure that it is not disruptive or unlawful.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

A Suggested Plan Could Help Alleviate Traffic Situation

A recent suggestion by Mr. Carter Burgess, assistant to the president, that the university establish a bus service and provide for a more organized traffic system. The increased number of cars on campus is one of the major factors causing the horseless to where the main exit is now closed off by the movement of traffic and make it impossible for the traffic to pass through the campus from one location to the other.

Donahue first presented his plan for the elimination of horseless to where the main exit is now closed off by the movement of traffic and make it impossible for the traffic to pass through the campus from one location to the other. Upon his second discharge from the University of Pennsylvania in December 1949, he was appointed to the professorship of History and Social Science at Harvard University. He has also been a visiting professor at the University of California, Berkeley, and at the University of Chicago.

Professor Donahue was born in New York City on November 10, 1888. He graduated from the College of the City of New York in 1907 and received his Ph.D. from Harvard University in 1912.

Donahue's work has been published in many professional journals, and he is the author of several books on American history. His works include "The American Nation" and "The History of the United States." He is also a member of the American Historical Association and the Society of American Historians.

Donahue is a member of the American Historical Association and the Society of American Historians.

It has been a pleasure to work with Donahue during my time at Harvard. His knowledge of American history is extensive, and he has a unique perspective on the subject.

I highly recommend Donahue to anyone interested in American history. His works are a valuable resource for anyone studying the subject.

Sincerely yours,

John A. McDavid

McCarthy has been a controversial figure in American politics, and his influence has been felt in many different ways. In this article, the author argues that the University of North Carolina is not paying enough attention to the issue of McCarthyism and is allowing it to become a problem on campus.

The author points out that the University of North Carolina's administration has not taken strong enough action to address the problem of McCarthyism. They argue that the university should be more proactive in addressing this issue, rather than simply allowing it to continue.

The author also notes that the University of North Carolina's administration has not been transparent about the reasons for firing the students who were accused of being pro-McCarthy. The author argues that the university should be more transparent about its decision-making process.

In conclusion, the author argues that the University of North Carolina's administration should take a more active role in addressing the issue of McCarthyism. They suggest that the university should be more transparent about its decision-making process, and that it should be more proactive in addressing this issue, rather than simply allowing it to continue.

Sincerely yours,

John A. McDavid
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