Handicapped Students Feel Needs Met Slowly, If At All

BY ELLEN BILES
Of The Gamecock staff

Physically handicapped
Universally students have very
special needs. The Administration
is responding to those needs, but
the response is often too slow and
inadequate to satisfy the handi-
capped.

Architectural barriers which
prevent access to campus
buildings are perhaps the major
drawbacks for physically handi-
capped students.

Bill East, a January graduate of
USC’s law school, is now
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Title IX Effective July 21

BY MARK MAYER
Of The Gamecock staff

A law prohibiting discrimination
by sex in any educational program
that receives federal funds, Title
IX, is scheduled to go into effect
July 21.

The law was originally passed by
Congress in 1972 but has taken
three years to work out the
regulations for its enforcement.

Nearly all authorities agree that
there will be several problems
resulting from Title IX.

Athletic department heads said
this week that they basically agree
with Title IX and do not expect it
to cause great changes at USC.

The National Collegiate Athletic
Association (NCAA), however,
predicted that the new rules will
signal the end of intercollegiate
athletic programs as they have
been known in recent decades.

This view was disputed by
Catherine Weinberger, Secretary of
Health, Education and Welfare
(HEW), in announcing the new
regulations Thursday, July 3. He said,
“...I can’t see anything in the provisions
to put intercollegiate athletics out
of business.”

Secretary Weinberger em-
phasized that “although schools must provide the funds necessary to
insure equal opportunity for
women who wish to take part in
athletics, the regulations do not
demand dollar-for-dollar matching expenditures for each sex.”

Darrell Royal, Texas University
coach and president of the American Football Coaches
Association, led a contingent of
seven other coaches in asking a
House educational subcommittee
last week to declare a moratorium
on the application of the new rules.

In a formal statement signed
by the eight coaches, they stated,
“...the battle generated by a principal source of
athletic income at many colleges and frequently finances the entire athletic
program as well as the con-
estrication maintenance and
debt retirement of facilities.”

“In many instances it has provided the funds for the present
expansion of women’s athletics.
This will no longer be possible
under the HEW regulations,”
the group said.

The results of Title IX include
discrimination in personnel
policies is prohibited. While
women make up 67 per cent of all
athletic departments.
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New Garage Best Parking Solution?

Ed. Note: This is the second
and final in a two-part series
into the parking situation at USC.

BY R. VANCE BUTTS
Of The Gamecock staff

Beauty, it is said, lies in the
eyes of the beholder. To Carolina
commuters, that is small
empty space between two white
lines, and it belongs to the
fifth. To the quickest pair of
eyes that spot it, it is a much
dependent solely on the reaction
price of the entire, minor
availability of the eyes’ owner.

Last week, the GAMECOCK
examined both the present and
future status of USC parking.

There emerged two issues of
equal, dependent importance;
one was parking and the other,
earnestness.

Necessity has brought USC to
a watershed: construction
cases have eliminated many
parking slots, and, while little
space will be lost this year, the
situation will gradually
deteriorate. Faced with this
problem, the University is
prospectively constructing a new
multi-level parking garage on
Pendleton Street, a $3 million
cost that may be outdated in
a rapidly expanding university by
the time of its completion.

The other problem, which has
been one of peripheral parking,
the moving of parking facilities
to fringe areas of campus,
in locations much the same as the
present lots behind Carolina
Coliseum.

Peripheral parking then,
seems to be the key—how the
issue splits. Should USC build
more multi-tiered garages at the
inconvenience of eliminating
even more parking spaces during
customers, or, should the University
make better use of
property it already owns?

Martin K. Lipinski, assistant
professor of engineering and
member of the joint state New
Presidential Advisory Com-
mence on Parking (NPACP) told
the GAMECOCK last week that
“The basic problem is that the
University has enough space for
parking, but it’s not in the right
place.” He named, for example,
the University property between
the new VE Center and the Hoost,
presently used.

Complicating the problem
further is the simple fact that most
commuters are highly desirous of
cly as possible to their classroom
place of work, and consequently
find parking a real problem to
neither in the parking and
around, in hopes of seizing a
choice space. Lipinski thought
a logical plan was one in which
only if there were enough lots
scattered around the various
ends of the University and therefore
convenient to the commuter, rather than one or two huge
lots only a 20-minute walk.

Dr. Richard A. Kempel, another faculty participant on the
NPACP told the GAMECOCK
last week that he was in favor of
the peripheral idea, and further
stated that the University could
build a multi-story garage
possibly in those parking areas in
use behind the Coliseum, a well-
tended facility housing perhaps
cales and waiting areas, com-
plemented by a shuttle system
with buses operating at regular
intervals.

Rempel said, “I could see
temporary parking at the
Fairgrounds with shuttle buses for
facial students during a parking
churn with construc-
tion of new lots is going on.”

By conveniently raising the
necessary construction
funds for such a facility, Rempel touched on the
counterintuitive issue related
to necessity, a proposed switch to a “fee paying” plan for
the few free fringe benefits
now enjoyed by faculty and staff.

The members of this (NPACP)
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11,342 Enrolled
For Summer

Enrollment for the first
summer session at USC totals
14,904.

At the main campus in
Columbia, 11,342 students are
attending classes while 2,578
are registered on the eight
Regional campuses and 556 are
students at the four military
centers operated by USC for
the first summer term which
en-rolls July 10.

This total of 13,111 students
dominated the first summer
session classes in 1974.

Enrollment breakdowns by
regional campuses and summer
enrollment as follows: Aiken
407; Beaufort 114; Coastal
at Conway 64; Lancaster 235; Salliehatchie
at Aiken 124; Spartanburg
799; Sumter 215; and Union 92.

News Analysis

Essentially, this means the
areas which were denied special
funding by either the State Budget
and Control Board or the General
Assembly will be using funds from
the general appropriation which
USC receives from the state.

Bernard Daetwyler, USC’s vice
president of finance, said Wed-
nesday that “all of these areas
will be funded by the University.
They will continue but will be funded out
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Special Areas
After Budget

BY BOB BAKER
AND MARION ELLIOTT
Of The Gamecock staff

Special areas included in the
research areas of the University which
originally requested state
government funds, but were denied
separate funding by either the
State Budget and Control Board
or the General Assembly will be
funded for the 1975–76 fiscal year.

The areas affect include six
research bureaus and institutes
of the University, general research,
“start-up” research, which grant
research, media arts and for
much of the USC libraries
including model schools, School
of Education, ROC and the
graduate school of criminal
justice.

In spite of the fact that these
areas will not receive special
funding from state sources, the
Gamecock learned yesterday that
money will be appropriated for
these programs from within USC’s
basic operating budget for the
next fiscal year.

The University originally asked
the State Budget and Control
Board to fund the last session’s
requests separately. However,
six programs were not recommended
for such funding to the General
Assembly by the State Budget
and Control Board. The remaining
areas were recommended
and approved by the House of
Representatives. The funding for
these areas, with a total approp-
riation of $1.8 million, was
placed on the Senate Finance
Committee which eventually
appropriated the total University
budget by $3.2 million.

In fact, the USC medical school
was the only program to receive
special funding in the area of
student appropriations bill.
The med school is scheduled to
get $807,158.

Although the areas which
necessitated special funding will
not receive the funds requested,
they will be funded out of the
basic educational and general
state allotment of $42.7 million for
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